

QA GRADES AND COMMENTS

Team	Judges	Criteria						Points	
		Test cases (5 points)		Bug reports quality (15 points)		Test results (5 points)			
		Points	Feedback	Points	Feedback	Points	Feedback		
	Irina	5	The test cases are excellent and well-formatted, containing all the important information needed for testing. They precisely describe the testing scenarios, making it possible to immediately execute the tests with confidence. Each test case is atomic, focusing on a single aspect, which ensures clarity and effectiveness. Additionally, the requirements were well-decomposed, allowing for comprehensive coverage of the functionality being tested. Great job on crafting such detailed and effective test cases!	15	The bug reports are well-prepared and adhere to a clear, consistent structure. They include all the essential parts, such as detailed descriptions, steps to reproduce, expected vs. actual results, and relevant attachments. The information provided makes it easy to reproduce the issues, which is crucial for effective debugging. Overall, these reports are thorough and well-organized. Great job!	4	Most known bugs were found BJ-8 - the title and description of the bug are not clear, the reader is supposed to find themselves what is incorrect exactly, and several areas are combined. It would be better to separate the emoji bug from the background gradient. One aspect was not mentioned (or found) - the Gastronomy subheading and section are not present.	24	23.5

	Alisa	<p>Your presentation was well-structured. The distinction between the introduction, main part, and conclusion was clear and well-defined, making it easy to follow along. Each section flowed logically into the next, contributing to a coherent and effective delivery. I especially loved how you summarized the key findings and results at the end—it reinforced the main takeaways beautifully.</p>	<p>The key ideas and features were communicated clearly, and I understood the results of testing the app's quality, key findings, and insights, as well as the bugs found and whether the app is ready for production. However, I'd suggest structuring the "other bugs" section into "medium" and "low" columns to make the information easier to understand at a glance. That said, I really appreciated the visuals explaining how the bugs looked on the platform—it greatly enhanced my understanding of the issues.</p>	<p>Your presentation was both pleasant and engaging. The design was consistent and concise, with everything clearly visible. The team did an excellent job of focusing the audience's attention on the important details while explaining complicated technical terms in very accessible language.</p>	<p>The presentation extended beyond the 7-minute mark. Despite this slight overrun, the presentation was effective and well-executed.</p>	23	22.5
		6	5	6	6		

Team Triple Threat	Elina	<p>Each team member introduced themselves, except for Noah. It would be helpful to describe their professional backgrounds or mention the number of sprints completed, rather than just their location.</p> <p>The app was introduced effectively, with its functions being clear from the outset.</p> <p>Each step of the testing process was broken down logically.</p> <p>The conclusion was clear and logical, with the team summarizing their findings and suggesting further steps to improve the app's performance.</p>	<p>The testing methodologies and steps were presented so clearly and logically that even someone with no QA experience could easily follow along.</p> <p>Sample bugs were effectively illustrated with screen recordings and screenshots.</p> <p>However, the 'Other Bugs' slide contained a lot of crucial information, but it was difficult to comprehend as plain text without paragraphs or bullet points.</p> <p>A slide listing the tools used was missing. While the team mentioned the tools throughout the presentation, a dedicated slide with this information would have been helpful.</p> <p>It wasn't very clear what challenges the team faced or what skills they practiced or acquired. For example, the 'Teamwork' slide would be easier to understand with bullet points and paragraphs.</p> <p>The presentation started off strong and impressive, but it seemed like the team got a bit tired toward the end, leaving some areas less polished.</p>	<p>The presentation design is modern, eye-catching, and stylish. The illustrations are a great addition.</p> <p>The way the team illustrated sample bugs is outstanding, making it easy for the audience to understand the issues.</p> <p>Transitions between speakers were smooth.</p> <p>The participants spoke clearly, with a logically structured narrative that was concise and to the point</p>	<p>Unfortunately, the presentation exceeded the required length, taking 7 minutes and 45 seconds instead of the expected 5-7 minutes</p>	22
FINAL						

